VZCZCXYZ0026 OO RUEHWEB

DE RUEHRB #0671 1041518
ZNY CCCCC ZZH
O 141518Z APR 06
FM AMEMBASSY RABAT
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3390
INFO RUEHAS/AMEMBASSY ALGIERS PRIORITY 3917
RUEHLO/AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY 2894
RUEHNK/AMEMBASSY NOUAKCHOTT PRIORITY 3122
RUEHFR/AMEMBASSY PARIS PRIORITY 4149
RUEHTU/AMEMBASSY TUNIS PRIORITY 8799
RUEHCL/AMCONSUL CASABLANCA PRIORITY 1568
RUEHGV/USMISSION GENEVA PRIORITY 0963
RHEHNSC/NSC WASHINGTON DC PRIORITY
RUCNDT/USMISSION USUN NEW YORK PRIORITY 0497

CONFIDENTIAL RABAT 000671

SIPDIS

SIPDIS

DEPT FOR NEA/MAG, IO

E.O. 12958: DECL: 11/02/2009 TAGS: MO PBTS PHUM PREL

SUBJECT: WESTERN SAHARA: GOM CLAIMS IT'S NOT STALLING ON

UN HUMAN RIGHTS VISIT

REF: RABAT 637

Classified By: Pol/C Tim Lenderking for Reasons 1.4 (b) and (d)

- 11. (C) Following up on SRSG Bastagli's April 6 comment that Morocco had turned off a third attempted visit to the Western Sahara by the UN High Commission for Human Rights (reftel), Polcouns called MFA Director for UN Affairs Nasser Bourita the afternoon of April 13 to get the official Moroccan perspective on the visit, which according to MINURSO was slated for March 29. Bourita said Morocco's position on the visit was clear and had been conveyed to the UNHRC on several occasions, most recently in a letter from FM Benaissa to UNHRC Commissioner Arbour on April 4. The GOM agreed to the principles of the visit and was ready to receive the mission. The GOM understood and accepted that the UNHRC delegation would visit four locales Rabat, Laayoune, Tindouf, and Algiers to assess human rights issues concerning the Western Sahara conflict.
- $\P2$. (C) Bourita said the issue for the GOM at this stage was that the Moroccans had come to learn that the delegation's stop in Algiers was an exchange of views during a dinner nothing more. No other meetings or activities were scheduled. The GOM believed that the meetings in each stop should follow the same format; an exchange of views over dinner would not allow for the kind of serious treatment of the issue that the ${\tt GOM}$ envisioned and that the ${\tt GOM}$ would be offering for its part. Bourita said the Moroccans had a number of files on human rights violations perpetrated by the Algerians which they intended to share with the UNHRC. Such files could not be treated seriously during a dinner; Morocco would want to raise rights violations by the Algerian military, for example. In Rabat, the delegation had requested meetings with the MFA, MOD, MOJ, and MOI. was an imbalance in what was being asked of the two sides; Morocco was prepared to arrange everything the delegation requested, but the Algiers visit was clearly intended to be non-substantive. Morocco would seek to present some facts from the conflict, and include testimony from ex-POWs, NGOs, and others. A dinner format did a disservice to the many people who suffered at the hands of the Algerians during the years of the conflict.
- $\P 3$. (C) Polcouns (having in mind Sahrawi dissidents such as Mohamed Dadach and Mohamed Afari and others) asked whether the UNHRC had requested to meet particular individuals in the

Western Sahara. Bourita said no, there were requests rather for specific NGOs and ministries. None of this posed a problem for the GOM, Bourita emphasized. The GOM was pursuing the visit in a "very constructive" spirit, he insisted. Reading from FM Benaissa, s April 6 letter to UNHRC Commissioner Arbour, Bourita said Morocco "reaffirms its agreement with the modalities of the HRC visit and has instructed its mission in Geneva to work with the HRC;" however, Bourita continued reading, "an exchange of views is not the mandate of the Commission."

Visit Embassy Rabat's Classified Website; http://www.state.sgov.gov/p/nea/rabat

Riley